A PRECISE WATER ABUNDANCE MEASUREMENT FOR THE HOT JUPITER WASP-43b
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ABSTRACT

The water abundance in a planetary atmosphere provides a key constraint on the planet’s primordial origins because water ice is expected to play an important role in the core accretion model of planet formation. However, the water content of the solar system giant planets is not well known because water is sequestered in clouds deep in their atmospheres. By contrast, short-period exoplanets have such high temperatures that their atmospheres have water in the gas phase, making it possible to measure the water abundance for these objects. We present a precise determination of the water abundance in the atmosphere of the 2 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ short-period exoplanet WASP-43b based on thermal emission and transmission spectroscopy measurements obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope. We find the water content is consistent with the value expected in a solar composition gas at planetary temperatures (0.4–3.5 $\times$ solar at 1σ confidence). The metallicity of WASP-43b’s atmosphere suggested by this result extends the trend observed in the solar system of lower metal enrichment for higher planet masses.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Water ice is an important building block for planet formation under the core accretion paradigm (Pollack et al. 1996). According to this model, protoplanetary cores form by sticky collisions of planetesimals. Once the cores reach a threshold mass, they experience runaway accretion of nearby material. Beyond the water frost line, water is expected to be the dominant component by mass of planetesimals in solar composition protoplanetary disks (Marboeuf et al. 2008; Johnson et al. 2012). Measurements of a planet’s water content can therefore help constrain the disk chemistry, location, and surface density of solids where it formed (e.g., Lodders 2004; Moussis et al. 2009; Öberg et al. 2011; Madhusudhan et al. 2011; Moussis et al. 2012; Heliod & Lunine 2014; Marboeuf et al. 2014).

Despite water’s important role in planet formation, there are few observational constraints on the bulk abundance of water in gas giant planets. The solar system giants have such low temperatures that water has condensed into clouds deep in their atmospheres, and is not easily accessible to remote observations (Guillot & Gautier 2014). The Galileo probe mass spectrometer entered Jupiter’s atmosphere and provided a direct measurement of the water abundance, but found a surprisingly small value (0.29 ± 0.10 $\times$ solar) which contrasts with the two to five times solar enhancement of most other volatile species (Wong et al. 2004). The reliability of the water measurement is uncertain given local meteorological effects at the probe entry point (Showman & Ingersoll 1998) and it is generally considered a lower limit. One of the main goals of NASA’s JUNO mission, which is scheduled to arrive at Jupiter in 2016, is to make a new measurement of the atmospheric water abundance (Matousek 2007).

In contrast to the solar system planets, hot exoplanets should harbor gaseous water in their observable atmospheres. Detections of water have been reported for a number of giant exoplanets (Grillmair et al. 2008; Konopacky et al. 2013; Deming et al. 2013; Birkby et al. 2013), and some previous measurements have yielded precise constraints on the abundance of water in these objects (Lee et al. 2013; Line et al. 2014; Madhusudhan et al. 2014). However, interpretation of past results has been challenging for cases when theoretical models do not provide good fits to the observed spectra (e.g., Line et al. 2014) and when measurement reproducibility has been questioned (e.g., Swain et al. 2009; Gibson et al. 2011).

The Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) instrument on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has enabled transit and eclipse observations of exoplanets that give repeatable results over year-long time baselines (Kreidberg et al. 2014) and consistent measurements with multiple analysis techniques (Deming et al. 2013;
Kreidberg et al. 2014; Knutson et al. 2014; McCullough et al. 2014). We use HST/WFC3 to measure precise transmission and emission spectra for the 2 $M_{Jup}$, short-period exoplanet WASP-43b that enable comparative planetology with gas giants in the solar system.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We observed three full-orbit phase curves, three primary transits, and two secondary eclipses of WASP-43b with 61 HST orbits as part of GO Program 13467. During the observations, we obtained low-resolution time series spectroscopy with the WFC3 G141 grism over the wavelength range 1.1–1.7 $\mu$m. The phase curves each span the entire orbital period of the planet (19.5 hr) and include coverage of a transit and eclipse, yielding a total of six transit and five eclipse observations. Further details of the observing campaign are described in a companion paper (K. B. Stevenson et al. 2014, in preparation). We focus here on constraints on the planet’s water abundance obtained from the transit and eclipse data.

We extracted spectroscopic light curves from the data using a technique outlined in past work (Kreidberg et al. 2014). In our analysis, we used a subset of the total observations, including only spectra obtained within 160 minutes of the time of central transit or eclipse. We fit the spectroscopic light curves to derive transmission and emission spectra, shown in Figure 1.

The light curve fits consisted of either a transit or eclipse model (as appropriate, Mandel & Agol 2002) multiplied by an analytic function of time used to correct systematic trends in the data. The dominant systematic is an HST orbit-long ramp (Berta et al. 2012; Deming et al. 2013; Kreidberg et al. 2014; Wilkins et al. 2014), which we fit with an exponential function (using the model–ramp parameterization from Kreidberg et al. 2014). The free parameters in our transit model are the planet-to-star radius ratio and a linear limb darkening coefficient. The eclipse model has one free parameter, the planet-to-star flux ratio. In all of our spectroscopic light curve fits, we fixed the orbital inclination to 82$^\circ$.1, the ratio of semimajor axis to stellar radius to 4.872, and the time of central transit to 2456601.02748 BJD$_{TDB}$ based on the best fit to the band-integrated (“white”) transit light curve. For the eclipse data, we also fixed the planet-to-star radius ratio to $R_p/R_* = 0.12$. Our models use an orbital period equal to 0.81347436 days (Blecic et al. 2014). The secondary eclipse
The emission spectrum shows strong evidence for water absorption. We detect water at 11.7σ confidence (6.4σ from the WFC3 data alone), according to the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The data constrain the volume mixing ratio of H₂O in the planet’s atmosphere to be 3.1 × 10⁻⁴–4.4 × 10⁻³ at 1σ confidence. Figure 3 shows the distribution of H₂O abundances that fit the observations.

Water is the only molecule significantly detected over the WFC3 wavelength range; however, additional constraints from the Spitzer data suggest CO and/or CO₂ are also present in the planet’s atmosphere. We detect CO+CO₂ at 4.7σ confidence in the combined WFC3/IRAC spectrum. The measured abundance of CO₂ is relatively high compared to the expected thermochemical value for a solar composition gas (∼5 × 10⁻⁸). We find that a moderately super-solar metallicity composition has equilibrium H₂O, CO₂, and CO abundances that are within the range of our retrieved values. However, the CO+CO₂ constraints are driven mainly by the photometric point from the Spitzer 4.5 µm channel. The bandpass for this channel is about 1 µm wide, and covers features from CO, CO₂, and H₂O (Sharp & Burrows 2007). Making a robust determination of the abundances of these molecules requires spectroscopic observations to resolve their absorption features. The main conclusions of this work are unchanged if we exclude the Spitzer data from our analysis.

The best-fit thermal profile has decreasing temperature with pressure and is consistent with predictions from a radiative-convective model for the substellar point over the range of pressures to which our data are sensitive. We find no evidence for a thermal inversion. Further details of the thermal structure of the planet’s atmosphere are available in K. B. Stevenson et al. (2014, in preparation).

4. RESULTS

4.1. Constraints from the Emission Spectrum

We obtained complementary results for the atmospheric composition based on a retrieval for the transmission spectrum. Water absorption is detected at 5σ confidence and is visible in the data, shown in Figure 1 (panel (b)). The transmission spectrum fit allows a water volume mixing ratio between 3.3 × 10⁻³ and 1.4 × 10⁻³ at 1σ, which is consistent with the bounds derived from the emission measurements. No other molecules are detected in the spectrum according to the BIC. The constraints on the water abundance (shown in Figure 3) are broader than those obtained from the emission spectrum because the abundance is correlated with the reference pressure level, which is only

Note. a Transit depths are given relative to the mean over all wavelengths, which is 2.5434%.

Table 1: Transit and Eclipse Depths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (µm)</th>
<th>Transit Deptha (ppm)</th>
<th>Wavelength (µm)</th>
<th>Eclipse Depth (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.135–1.158</td>
<td>96 ± 54</td>
<td>1.125–1.160</td>
<td>367 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.158–1.181</td>
<td>−14 ± 52</td>
<td>1.160–1.195</td>
<td>431 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.181–1.204</td>
<td>−24 ± 49</td>
<td>1.195–1.230</td>
<td>414 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.205–1.228</td>
<td>−134 ± 52</td>
<td>1.230–1.265</td>
<td>482 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.228–1.251</td>
<td>56 ± 49</td>
<td>1.265–1.300</td>
<td>460 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.251–1.274</td>
<td>−14 ± 52</td>
<td>1.300–1.335</td>
<td>473 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.274–1.297</td>
<td>−24 ± 49</td>
<td>1.335–1.370</td>
<td>353 ± 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.297–1.320</td>
<td>−14 ± 50</td>
<td>1.370–1.405</td>
<td>313 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.320–1.343</td>
<td>6 ± 45</td>
<td>1.405–1.440</td>
<td>320 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.343–1.366</td>
<td>156 ± 50</td>
<td>1.440–1.475</td>
<td>394 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.366–1.389</td>
<td>126 ± 46</td>
<td>1.475–1.510</td>
<td>439 ± 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.389–1.412</td>
<td>116 ± 49</td>
<td>1.510–1.545</td>
<td>458 ± 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.412–1.435</td>
<td>36 ± 46</td>
<td>1.545–1.580</td>
<td>595 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.435–1.458</td>
<td>−34 ± 51</td>
<td>1.580–1.615</td>
<td>614 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.458–1.481</td>
<td>−84 ± 46</td>
<td>1.615–1.650</td>
<td>732 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.481–1.504</td>
<td>−44 ± 51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.504–1.527</td>
<td>6 ± 47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.527–1.550</td>
<td>6 ± 48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.550–1.573</td>
<td>−14 ± 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.573–1.596</td>
<td>−54 ± 49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.596–1.619</td>
<td>−74 ± 53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.619–1.642</td>
<td>−74 ± 51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We explored the effects of the including additional chemical species H₂S, NH₃, K, and FeH, and found that our results were unchanged.

For the emission spectrum retrieval, we used a five-parameter model for the T–P profile motivated by analytically gray radiative-equilibrium solutions (Parmentier & Guillot 2014). The model fits a one-dimensional T–P profile to the hemispherically averaged emission spectrum. We retrieved an effective scale height temperature, a reference pressure at which the fiducial radius is defined, and an opaque gray cloud top temperature, in addition to the molecular abundances (Line et al. 2013a). The distributions of retrieved parameters are shown in Figure 2. The retrieved T–P profile for the dayside emission spectrum is presented in K. B. Stevenson et al. (2014, in preparation).

3. ANALYSIS

We retrieved the planet’s atmospheric properties by fitting the transmission and dayside emission spectra with the CHIMERA Bayesian retrieval suite (Line et al. 2013b; Line & Yung 2013; Line et al. 2014). The retrieval constrains the molecular abundances and the temperature–pressure (T–P) profile of WASP-43b’s atmosphere. In addition to our HST data, we included two high-precision, 3.6 and 4.5 µm broadband photometric Spitzer/IRAC secondary eclipse measurements (Blecic et al. 2014) in the retrieval. We found that using the highest-precision ground-based secondary eclipse measurements (Gillon et al. 2012) did not significantly affect our results, so our final analysis incorporates data from HST and Spitzer only.

We analyzed the transmission and emission spectra independently. In both cases, we retrieved the abundances of H₂O, CH₄, CO, and CO₂, which are expected to be the dominant opacity sources at the observed wavelengths for a hydrogen-rich atmosphere. Our model also includes collision-induced H₂/He absorption.
Figure 2. Pairs plots of retrieved parameters from the emission spectrum (top right) and the transmission spectrum (lower left). We plot every tenth point from our MCMC chains. For the emission spectrum fits, we show constraints on the retrieved molecular abundances (in units of log mixing ratio) and the temperature at the 100 mbar pressure level ($T_{100}$, in Kelvin). For the transmission spectrum, we show constraints on the molecular abundances (in units of log mixing ratio), the scale height temperature (in Kelvin), the reference pressure $P_{\text{ref}}$ (in bars), and the cloud-top pressure $P_c$ (in bars).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

weakly constrained by the observations. We remind the reader that the size of features in the transmission spectrum is controlled by the molecular abundances, the planet’s atmospheric scale height, and the radius of the planet relative to the star (Miller-Ricci et al. 2009).

In addition to probing the atmospheric composition, the data also constrain the temperature at the terminator and the cloud top pressure. The 1σ confidence interval on the scale height temperature is 500–780 K. We find no evidence for a cloud at the pressure levels to which our observations are sensitive.

4.3. Joint Constraint on the Water Abundance

We derive consistent water abundances for WASP-43b from the emission and transmission spectra. This consistency matches the prediction from theoretical models of hot Jupiters that water has a nearly uniform abundance with both pressure (from 10 to $10^{-8}$ bar) and with temperature (Moses et al. 2011). Therefore, to obtain a more precise estimate of WASP-43b’s water abundance, we assume the regions of the atmosphere probed by the emission and transmission data have the same water content. Because the measurements are independent,
we can combine their constraints by multiplying the probability distributions for water abundance retrieved from each data set. This yields a joint distribution, shown in Figure 3, which constrains the water volume mixing ratio to $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ to $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ at 1σ confidence. This measurement contrasts with the sub-solar water abundance values reported for three other hot Jupiters by Madhusudhan et al. (2014), and suggests that additional observations are needed to understand the diversity in composition of these objects.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Comparison with Solar System Planets

With our well-determined water abundance for the atmosphere of WASP-43b, we can begin a comparative study with the giant planets in the solar system. However, it is not possible to directly compare water abundances, because the water content in the solar system giants is poorly constrained. We instead compare the planets’ metallicities, which we estimate from chemical species with well-determined abundances. To calculate metallicity based on a molecule X, we determine the planet’s enhancement in X relative to the volume mixing ratio of X expected for a solar composition gas at planetary temperatures. We use solar abundances from Asplund et al. (2009) for the calculation.

We infer the solar system planets’ metallicities from the abundance of methane, which has been precisely measured for all four giant planets. Jupiter’s methane abundance is from the Galileo probe (Wong et al. 2004), while those of the other planets are from infrared spectroscopy (Fletcher et al. 2009; Karkoschka & Tomasko 2011; Stromovsky et al. 2011). The 1σ confidence intervals for the planets’ metallicities are 3.3–5.5, 9.5–10.3, 71–100, and 67–111 times solar for Jupiter, Saturn, Neptune, and Uranus, respectively. These bounds are shown in Figure 4.

We determine the metallicity of WASP-43b based on our measured water abundance. The planet’s temperature is cooler at the terminator than at the substellar point. The difference between these temperatures leads to a factor of two discrepancy in the expected water volume mixing ratio for a solar composition gas. This difference is small relative to the uncertainty in the planet’s measured water abundance, so we therefore adopt the average predicted water volume mixing ratio ($6.1 \times 10^{-4}$).

Using the joint constraint from the transmission and emission spectra, we find the water abundance is 0.4 to 3.5 times solar at 1σ confidence. The 3σ upper limit on the water enhancement is 20 times solar.

We note that determining the metallicity relative to solar composition assumes that the planets have a scaled solar abundance pattern. This assumption could lead to an incorrect estimate of metallicity in the case of non-solar abundance ratios. For example, if WASP-43b had a super-solar carbon-to-oxygen (C/O) ratio, we would expect a smaller fraction of the total oxygen to be partitioned into H₂O (Madhusudhan 2012). We would therefore underestimate the planet’s metallicity based on our assumption of solar abundances. However, given that the C/O ratio is poorly constrained by our data but broadly consistent with solar, we proceed with the comparison with these caveats in mind.

The metallicity estimates for the solar system planets show a pattern of decreasing metal enhancement with increasing planet mass (see Figure 4). This trend is generally thought to be controlled by the relative importance of accretion of solid planetesimals versus H/He-dominated gas. Planet population synthesis models aim to match atmospheric metallicity to planet mass (Fortney et al. 2013; Marboeuf et al. 2014), but there...
The metallicity of WASP-43b indicates that the trend seen in the solar system may extend to exoplanets.

5.2. Prospects for Future Work

WASP-43b, with twice the mass of Jupiter and an orbital period of less than one day, exemplifies the opportunity exoplanets provide to study planet formation over a larger parameter space than what is available in our solar system. A more insightful comparative planetology study using WASP-43b could be performed by improving the precision of the water abundance estimate and measuring the abundances of additional molecules. Such measurements will be enabled by the broad wavelength coverage and increased sensitivity of next-generation observing facilities such as the James Webb Space Telescope.

However, a planet’s chemical composition depends on many factors, including the planet’s formation location within the protoplanetary disk, the composition, size and accretion rate of planetesimals, and the planet’s migration history. Even perfect constraints on the abundances of many chemical species for a small number of objects may not yield a unique model for the origin of giant planets. Fortunately, the plethora of transiting exoplanets that have already been found and will be discovered with future missions offer the potential for statistical studies. Measuring precise chemical abundances for a large and diverse sample of these objects would facilitate the development of a more comprehensive theory of planet formation.
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