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ABSTRACT

We present Hubble WFC3/IR slitless grism spectra of a remarkably bright $z \gtrsim 10$ galaxy candidate, GN-z11, identified initially from CANDELS/GOODS-N imaging data. A significant spectroscopic continuum break is detected at $\lambda = 1.47 \pm 0.01$ μm. The new grism data, combined with the photometric data, rule out all plausible lower redshift solutions for this source. The only viable solution is that this continuum break is the Ly$\alpha$ break redshifted to $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09_{-0.02}^{+0.08}$, just $\sim 400$ Myr after the Big Bang. This observation extends the current spectroscopic frontier by 150 Myr to well before the Planck (instantaneous) cosmic reionization peak at $z \sim 8.8$, demonstrating that galaxy build-up was well underway early in the reionization epoch at $z > 10$. GN-z11 is remarkably and unexpectedly luminous for a galaxy at such an early time: its UV luminosity is $3 \times$ larger than $L_\star$ measured at $z \sim 6 - 8$. The Spitzer IRAC detections up to 4.5 μm of this galaxy are consistent with a stellar mass of $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$. This spectroscopic redshift measurement suggests that the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will be able to similarly and easily confirm such sources at $z > 10$ and characterize their physical properties through detailed spectroscopy. Furthermore, WFIRST, with its wide-field near-IR imaging, would find large numbers of similar galaxies and contribute greatly to JWST’s spectroscopy, if it is launched early enough to overlap with JWST.

Subject headings: galaxies: high-redshift — galaxies: formation — galaxies: evolution — dark ages, reionization, first stars

1. INTRODUCTION

The first billion years are a crucial epoch in cosmic history. This is when the first stars and galaxies formed and the universe underwent a major phase transition from a neutral to an ionized state. Our understanding of galaxies in this early phase of the universe has been revolutionized over the last few years thanks to the sensitive WFC3/IR camera onboard the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in combination with ultra-deep Spitzer/IRAC imaging. WFC3/IR has pushed the observational horizon of galaxies to the beginning of the cosmic reionization epoch at $z \sim 9 - 11$, less than 500 Myr from the Big Bang. Several large extragalactic surveys have now resulted in the identification of a large sample of more than 800 galaxies at $z \sim 7 - 8$ (Bouwens et al. 2015b; McLeod et al. 2013; Finkelstein et al. 2015; Bradley et al. 2014; Schmidt et al. 2014) and even a small sample of $z \sim 9 - 11$ candidates (Oesch et al. 2013, 2014, 2015a; Ellis et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2012; Coe et al. 2013; Zitrin et al. 2014; Bouwens et al. 2015a; Calvi et al. 2013, 2016). Spectroscopic confirmations of very high-redshift candidates remain limited, however. The primary spectral feature accessible from the ground for these sources, the Ly$\alpha$ line, is likely attenuated by the surrounding neutral hydrogen for all $z > 6$ galaxies (Schenker et al. 2012; Treu et al. 2013; Pentericci et al. 2014). Therefore, despite the large number of candidates from HST imaging, only a handful of galaxies in the epoch of reionization have confirmed redshifts to date (Vanzella et al. 2011; Ono et al. 2012; Shibuya et al. 2012; Finkelstein et al. 2013; Oesch et al. 2015b; Roberts-Borsani et al. 2015; Zitrin et al. 2015).

Given the low success rate of Ly$\alpha$ searches, a viable alternative approach is to search for a spectroscopic confirmation of the UV continuum spectral break (see e.g. Dow-Hygelund et al. 2005; Malhotra et al. 2005; Vanzella et al. 2009; Rhoads et al. 2013; Watson et al. 2015; Pirzkal et al. 2015). This break is expected owing to the near-
complete absorption of UV photons shortward of Lyα by neutral hydrogen in the early universe. For the brightest known \( z \geq 7 \) candidates \((H < 26 \text{ AB mag})\), the continuum flux is within reach of the powerful WFC3/IR grism spectrometer given the low near-infrared background at the orbit of \( HST \). Several surveys have thus been undertaken or are ongoing to search for continuum breaks and very weak Lyα lines with deep WFC3/IR grism spectra (e.g. Treu et al. 2015; Schmidt et al. 2016; Pirzkal et al. 2015).

Our team recently discovered a small sample of \( z \geq 9 \) candidate galaxies bright enough to test this approach at the highest accessible redshifts with \( HST \) (Oesch et al. 2014). In our analysis of the public CANDELS data over the GOODS fields, we identified six relatively bright \((H_{160} = 26.0–26.8 \text{ mag})\) galaxies with best-fit photometric redshifts \( z = 9.2–10.2 \). These sources more than doubled the number of known galaxy candidates at 500 Myr. Remarkably they were \( \sim 10–20 \) times more luminous than any prior candidate. The question thus arose whether these bright galaxies really are at \( z > 9 \) or whether they are part of a previously unknown population at lower redshifts. While the photometric data strongly indicate that the candidates are very high-redshift galaxies, one could not completely rule out extreme emission line galaxies at lower redshift.

This paper presents the results of a 12 orbit WFC3/IR grism spectroscopy program (GO-13871, PI:Oesch) which targeted the intrinsically most luminous \( z \geq 10 \) galaxy candidate among our previous sample. This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 summarizes the grism spectroscopy and ancillary imaging data before we present the resulting spectrum, which provides strong evidence for a continuum Lyman-break at \( z = 11.1 \) (see Section 3). We end with a short discussion of our findings in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, we adopt \( \Omega_M = 0.3, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7, H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}, \) i.e., \( h = 0.7 \), largely consistent with the most recent measurements from Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015). Magnitudes are given in the AB system (Oke & Gunn 1983), and we will refer to the \( HST \) filters F435W, F606W, F814W, F105W, F125W, F140W, F160W as \( B_{435}, V_{606}, I_{814}, Y_{105}, J_{125}, JH_{140}, H_{160} \), respectively.

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Flux Density [μJy]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( B_{435} )</td>
<td>( 7 \pm 9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{606} )</td>
<td>( 2 \pm 7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{814} )</td>
<td>( 5 \pm 10 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Y_{105} )</td>
<td>( 3 \pm 7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( J_{125} )</td>
<td>( 17 \pm 11 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( JH_{140} )</td>
<td>( 11 \pm 8 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_{160} )</td>
<td>( 152 \pm 10 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( K )</td>
<td>( 137 \pm 67 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAC 3.6 μm</td>
<td>( 139 \pm 21 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAC 4.5 μm</td>
<td>( 144 \pm 27 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Note that the earlier JH140 data in which GN-z11 was first detected was less deep and gave a flux measurement of \( 104 \pm 47 \) nJy. For other previous photometry measurements see Table 3 in Oesch et al. (2014).

2. TARGET SELECTION AND DATA

2.1. Target Selection

Galaxy samples at \( z \sim 9–10 \) are now being assembled based on deep \( HST \) imaging data (Zheng et al. 2012; Oesch et al. 2013; Ellis et al. 2013; Coe et al. 2013; Bouwens et al. 2015a; McLeod et al. 2015). Such distant sources can be identified based on a continuum break in the \( J_{125} \) band, i.e., at around 1.2 μm. In a recent analysis of the WFC3/IR imaging data from the public CANDELS survey (Koekemoer et al. 2011; Grogin et al. 2011), we identified six surprisingly bright sources \((H_{160} = 26.0–26.8 \text{ mag})\) with photometric redshifts \( z = 9.2–10.2 \) in the two GOODS fields (Oesch et al. 2014).

Interestingly, a large fraction of these luminous \( z > 9 \) galaxy candidates were detected individually in the rest-frame optical with \( \text{Spitzer}/\text{IRAC} \) (Fazio et al. 2004) data from the S-CANDELS survey (Ashby et al. 2015). This provides a sampling of the spectral energy distribution (SED) of these sources from the rest-frame UV to the rest-frame optical. The strong breaks measured in their \( J_{125}–H_{160} \) colors, the complete non-detections in the optical images, and the blue colors from \( HST \) to IRAC significantly limit the contamination by known low-redshift SEDs and together point to a true high-redshift nature for all these sources. Stellar contamination could be ruled out based on colors and sizes. Furthermore, no evidence for contamination by an active galactic nucleus was found based on variability over a 1 year timescale and X-ray upper limits (Oesch et al. 2014). Nevertheless, spectroscopic confirmation is clearly required to verify the high-redshift solutions for these targets.

The brightness of these \( z \sim 9–10 \) galaxy candidates puts them within reach of WFC3/IR grism continuum spectroscopy, opening up the possibility of obtaining a grism redshift based on a continuum break. The brightest of these candidates, GN-z11, also had the highest photometric redshift and was thus identified as the target for follow-up spectroscopy for our \( HST \) program GO-13871 (PI: Oesch).

GN-z11 lies in the CANDELS DEEP area in GOODS-North at \((\text{RA}, \text{DEC}) = (12:36:25.46, +62:14:31.4)\) and has \( H_{160} = 26.0 \pm 0.1 \). It was previously introduced as GN-z10-1 (Oesch et al. 2014) or as source 20253 in the 3D-HST photometric catalog (Skelton et al. 2014). GN-z11 also has the highest S/N in both IRAC bands (3.6 and 4.5 μm) among the sample of \( z \sim 9–10 \) galaxies from Oesch et al. (2014). The \( H_{160} \) profile of GN-z11 shows clear asymmetry with its isophotal area extending over nearly 0′6. A stellar source can therefore be excluded.

The depth of the data used to originally identify this candidate was 27.8 mag in \( H_{160} \), 27.0 mag in IRAC channel 1, and 26.7 mag in channel 2 (all 5σ; see Oesch et al. 2014). The photometry of the target source is listed in Table 1. Compared to our discovery paper (Oesch et al. 2014), this includes new measurements in the two IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 μm filters as well as in the WFC3/IR \( JH_{140} \) band: deeper data are now available in \( JH_{140} \) as part of our grism pre-imaging (see next section), and the IRAC photometry was updated based on a new, independent reduction of all available IRAC imaging data in the Spitzer archive by our team (similar to Labbé et al. 2015).
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2.2. Slitless Grism Data

The primary data analyzed in this paper are 12 orbit
deep G141 grism spectra from our HST program GO-
13871 (PI: Oesch). These spectra were taken at two dif-
ferent orient in two epochs of six orbits each on 2015
February 11 and April 3 (see Figure 1). The data ac-
quision and observation planning followed the successful
3D-HST grism program (Brammer et al. 2012; Mom-
cheva et al. 2015). Together with each G141 grism expo-
sure, a short 200 s pre-image with the
JH
filter was taken to determine the zeroth order of the grism spectra.
The
images were placed at the beginning and end of each orbit in order to minimize the impact of variable
sky background on the grism exposure due to the bright
Earth limb and He 1.083 µm line emission from the upper
atmosphere (Brammer et al. 2014). A four-point dither pattern was used to improve the sampling of the point-
spread function and to overcome cosmetic defects of the
detector.

The grism data were reduced using the grism reduc-
tion pipeline developed by the 3D-HST team. The main
reduction steps are explained in detail by Momcheva et al. (2015). In particular, the flat-fielded and global
background-subtracted grism images are interlaced to produce 2D spectra for sources at a spectral sampling
of ~23 Å, i.e., about one quarter of the native resolution of the G141 grism. The final 2D spectrum is a weighted
stack of the data from individual visits. In particular,
we down-weight pixels which are affected by neighbor-
contamination using
\[
w = \left[2 * f_{\text{contam}} + \sigma^2\right]^{-1}
\]  
(1)
2.3. The Challenge of Cleaning Slitless Grism Spectra

One major challenge in slitless grism spectroscopy is the systematic contamination of the target spectrum by light from nearby galaxies. Our spectra were therefore taken at two orientations with the grism dispersion offset by 32 degrees and tailored to show the least possible contamination while being schedulable (see Figure 2). The optimal orientations were determined through extensive simulations of the location of all orders from -1st to +3rd of all sources in the field detected in the extensive ancillary imaging datasets. Nevertheless, some contaminating flux can never be avoided and needs to be modeled. Following the same techniques as developed for the 3D-HST survey, this was done by fitting all the neighboring galaxies’ SEDs (i.e., the EAZY template fits to the Skelton et al. photometric catalog) and using their morphologies to create 2D spectral models for all of them, which were then subtracted from the 2D spectrum of our target GN-z11 spectrum.

Having access to deep grism spectra at multiple independent orientations also allows us to further refine the 2D spectral model of neighboring galaxies by including actually measured emission line fluxes. We thus extracted spectra of all neighboring sources at both orientations and fit their spectra as outlined by Momcheva et al. (2015). The resulting best fits were then used directly as contamination models. This produces significantly cleaner 2D spectra compared to assuming simple continuum emission templates for contamination modeling. The resulting contamination of all neighboring sources in our data as well as in the AGHAST spectra are shown in Figure 2. The quality of this quantitative contamination model is also discussed in the next sections and shown in Figure 3.

3. CONTINUUM DETECTION

The final stacked 2D grism spectrum is shown in the top panel of Figure 3. Clearly, contamination is significant outside of the expected trace of GN-z11. After subtracting our detailed contamination model, however, we obtain a clean 2D frame (middle panel of Fig 3). This shows clear flux exactly along the dispersion location of GN-z11. After rebinning to a spectral resolution of 93 Å (the native resolution element of the grism) this flux detection is ∼ 1 − 1.5σ per resolution element longward of 1.47 µm and consistent with zero flux shortward of that. The total spectral flux averaged over 1.47-1.65 µm represents a clear 5.5σ detection. This is fully consistent with the prediction from the exposure time calculator for an H = 26 mag source in a 12-orbit exposure.

The extracted 1D spectrum along the trace of GN-z11 is shown in Figures 4 and 5. These highlight the detection of a continuum break with a flux ratio of f(λ < 1.47)/f(λ > 1.47) < 0.32 at 2σ when averaged over 560 Å wide spectral bins.

A flux decrement is seen around ∼ 1.6 µm, which is caused by negative flux values in one of our two visits slightly above the peak of the trace of GN-z11. However, this dip is consistent with Gaussian deviates from the noise model. We also tested that the detected continuum flux is still present when adopting different stacking and extraction procedures (see appendix A). In particular, we confirmed the spectral break in a simple median stack of

![Fig. 3.— 2D grism data of GN-z11. The five panels show from top to bottom (1) the original 2D spectrum from a stack of all our G141 grism data, (2) the modeled contaminating flux from neighboring sources, (3) the cleaned 2D spectrum of GN-z11, (4) the model of a z = 11.09 source with the same morphology and H-band magnitude as GN-z11, and (5) the residual spectrum after subtracting the z = 11.09 continuum model. The observed grism flux is completely consistent with the model flux, as can be seen from the clean residual. The observed spectrum also falls off at ∼ 1.65 µm, exactly as expected based on the drop in the G141 grism sensitivity providing further strong support that the observed flux is indeed the continuum of GN-z11. The spatial direction extends over 3.6 arcsec, and the two red lines indicate the trace of GN-z11.

3.1. The Best-fit Solution: A z ∼ 11 Galaxy

Based on our previous photometric redshift measurement for GN-z11 (z_phot = 10.2), we expected to detect a continuum break at 1.36 ± 0.05 µm. This can clearly be ruled out. However, the grism data are consistent with an even higher redshift solution. Interpreting the observed break as the 1216 Å break, which is expected for high-redshift galaxies based on absorption from the neutral inter-galactic hydrogen along the line of sight,
An HST Grism Redshift at $z = 11$

Fig. 4.— The new 12-orbit deep grism spectra in combination with the photometry of GN-z11 exclude lower redshift solutions. The main contaminants for high-redshift galaxy selections are sources with extreme emission lines or with very strong 4000 Å breaks. The top left panel shows the photometry together with three example SEDs for the possible nature of GN-z11 (dark red: a $z = 11.09$ star-forming galaxy, blue: an extreme line emitter at $z = 2.1$, green: a dusty+quiescent galaxy at $z = 2.5$). The last one is only shown for illustration purposes as it can be clearly excluded based on the longer wavelength photometry ($\Delta \chi^2 > 8000$ relative to the best fit SED model). The remaining panels compare the observed 1D spectrum with the expected grism fluxes for the same three cases. The best-fit to the grism data is provided by the high-redshift LBG template which interprets the observed break as a Ly$\alpha$ break. This solution has a reduced $\chi^2 = 1.2$. The other two cases can be excluded based on the difference in $\chi^2$ in the grism spectra as well as from the photometry ($\chi^2 > 15$).

we obtain a very good fit to the spectrum with a reduced $\chi^2 = 1.2$ (see Figs 4 and 5). The best fit redshift is $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09^{+0.08}_{-0.12}$, corresponding to a cosmic time of only $\sim 400$ Myr after the Big Bang. The grism redshift and its uncertainty are derived from an MCMC fit to the 2D spectrum which also includes the morphological information of the source as well as the photometry, adopting identical techniques as used for the 3D-HST survey redshifts (see Momcheva et al. 2015).

This high-redshift solution also reproduces the expected count rate based on an $H = 26$ mag continuum source, as well as the overall morphology of the 2D grism spectrum. This is demonstrated in Figure 3, where we show the original data, the neighbor subtracted 2D spectrum as well as the residual after subtracting out the $z = 11.09$ model with the correct $H$-band magnitude. Note the drop of the flux longward of 1.65 μm due to the reduced sensitivity of the grism. This is an important constraint, because it shows that the detected flux originates from the source itself and is not due to residual neighbor contamination.

Figure 11 (in the appendix) further shows that the pixel distribution of the residual 2D frame is in excellent agreement with the expectations from pure Gaussian noise. This demonstrates that our contamination subtraction and flux uncertainty estimates were derived appropriately and that the resulting values are accurate. Despite the difference from the previous photometric redshift estimate, the measured grism redshift is consistent with the photometry of this source (see Figure 6). While our previous photometric redshift estimate was $z_{\text{phot}} = 10.2 \pm 0.4$, the redshift likelihood function contained a significant tail to $z > 11$. The updated and deeper $JH_{140}$ photometry subsequently resulted in a shift of the peak by $\Delta z = 0.2$ to a higher redshift. The $z = 11.09$ solution is within 1σ of the now better measured $JH_{140} - H_{160}$ color, which is the main driver for the photometric redshift estimate, as shown in Figure 6. The grism data significantly tighten the redshift likelihood function (bottom panel Figure 6) in addition to excluding lower redshift solutions.

In the next two sections we also show that we can safely exclude all plausible lower redshift solutions. The new grism redshift confirms that this source lies well beyond the peak epoch of cosmic reionization ($z_{\text{reion}} = 8.8$; Planck Collaboration et al. 2015) and makes it the most distant known galaxy. This includes sources with photometric redshift measurements, apart from a highly debated source in the HUDF/XDF field, which likely lies at $z \approx 2$ but has a potential $z \sim 12$ solution (see Bouwens et al. 2011, 2013; Ellis et al. 2013; Brammer et al. 2013).

3.2. Excluding a Lower-Redshift Strong Line Emitter

The principal goal of our grism program was to unequivocally exclude a lower redshift solution for the source GN-z11. While GN-z11 shows a very strong con-
continuum break with $J_{125} - H_{160} > 2.4\ (2\sigma)$, without a spectrum, we could not exclude contamination by a source with very extreme emission lines with line ratios reproducing a seemingly flat continuum longward of 1.4 $\mu$m (Oesch et al. 2014).

The previous AGHAST spectra already provided some evidence against strong emission line contamination (Oesch et al. 2014), and we also obtained Keck/MOSFIRE spectroscopy to further strengthen this conclusion (see appendix). However, the additional 12 orbits of G141 grism data now conclusively rule out that GN-z11 is such a lower redshift source. Assuming that all the $H$-band flux came from one emission line, we would have detected this line at $>10\sigma$. Even when assuming a more realistic case where the emission line flux is distributed over a combination of lines (e.g., H$\beta + [O\ III]$), we can confidently invalidate such a solution. The lower left panel in Figure 4 compares the measured grism spectrum with that expected for the best-fit lower redshift solution we had previously identified (Oesch et al. 2014). A strong line emitter SED is clearly inconsistent with the data. Apart from the emission lines, which we do not detect, this model also predicts weak continuum flux across the whole wavelength range. At $<1.47\ \mu$m, this is higher than the observed mean, while at $>1.47\ \mu$m the expected flux is too low compared to the observations. Overall the likelihood of a $z \sim 2$ extreme emission line SED based on our grism data is less than $10^{-6}$ and can be ruled out.

Note that in very similar grism observations for a source triply imaged by a CLASH foreground cluster, emission line contamination could also be excluded (Pirzkal et al. 2015). We thus have no indication currently that any of the recent $z \sim 9-11$ galaxy candidates identified with $HST$ is a lower redshift strong emission line contaminant (but see, e.g., Brammer et al. 2013, for a possible $z \sim 12$ candidate).

### 3.3. Excluding a Lower-Redshift Dusty or Quiescent Galaxy

Another potential source of contamination for very high redshift galaxy samples are dusty $z \sim 2 - 3$ sources with strong 4000 Å or Balmer breaks (Oesch et al. 2012; Hayes et al. 2012). However, the fact that the IRAC data for GN-z11 show that it has a very blue continuum longward of 1.6 $\mu$m, together with the very red color in the WFC3/IR photometry, already rules out such a solution (see SED plot in Figure 4). Nevertheless, we additionally
explore what constraints the grism spectrum alone can set on such a solution.

The expected flux for such a red galaxy increases gradually across the wavelength range covered by the G141 grism, unlike what we observe in the data (lower right in Fig 4). Compared to our best-fit solution (see next section) we measure a $\Delta \chi^2 = 15$ when comparing the data with the expected grism flux. Apart from the extremely large discrepancy with the IRAC photometry, we can thus exclude this solution at 98.9% confidence based on the spectrum alone.

Similar conclusions can be drawn from the break strength alone (see e.g. Spinrad et al. 1998). Assuming that the observed break at 1.47 $\mu$m corresponds to 4000 Å at $z = 2.7$, a galaxy with a maximally old spectral energy distribution (single burst at $z = 15$) would show a flux ratio of $(1 - f_{\nu\text{short}}/f_{\nu\text{long}}) < 0.63$ when averaged over 560 Å bins. This is based on simple Bruzual & Charlot (2003) models without any dust. As mentioned earlier, the observed spectrum has a break of $(1 - f_{\nu\text{short}}/f_{\nu\text{long}}) > 0.68$ at 2σ, thus indicating again that we can marginally rule out a 4000 Å break based on the spectrum alone even without including the photometric constraints.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Physical Properties of GN-z11

Despite being the most distant known galaxy, GN-z11 is relatively bright and reliably detected in both IRAC 3.6 and 4.5 $\mu$m bands from the S-CANDELS survey (Ashby et al. 2015). This provides a sampling of its rest-frame UV spectral energy distribution and even partially covers the rest-frame optical wavelengths in the IRAC 4.5 $\mu$m band (see Figure 6).

The photometry of GN-z11 is consistent with a spectral energy distribution (SED) of log $M/M_\odot \sim 9$ using standard templates (Bruzual & Charlot 2003, see appendix). The UV continuum is relatively blue with a UV spectral slope $\beta = -2.5 \pm 0.2$ as derived from a powerlaw fit to the $H_{160}$, $K$, and [3.6] fluxes only, indicating very little dust extinction (see also Wilkins et al. 2016). Together with the absence of a strong Balmer break, this is consistent with a young stellar age of this galaxy. The best fit age is only $40 \text{ Myr} (< 110 \text{ Myr} \times 1\sigma$). GN-z11 thus formed its stars relatively rapidly. The inferred star-formation rate is $24\pm10 \text{ M}_\odot/\text{yr}$. All the inferred physical parameters for GN-z11 are summarized in Table 2. Overall, our results show that galaxy build-up was well underway at $\sim 400 \text{ Myr}$ after the Big Bang.

4.2. The Number Density of Very Bright Galaxies

The spectrum of GN-z11 indicates that its continuum break lies within the $H_{160}$ filter (which covers $\sim 1.4 - 1.7 \mu$m; see Fig 6). The rest-frame UV continuum flux of this galaxy is therefore $\sim 0.4 \text{ mag}$ brighter than inferred from the $H_{160}$ magnitude. The estimated absolute magnitude is $M_{UV} = -22.1 \pm 0.2$, which is roughly a magnitude brighter (i.e., a factor $3\times$) than the characteristic luminosity of the UV luminosity function at $z \sim 7 - 8$ (Bouwens et al. 2015b; Finkelstein et al. 2015). With $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09$, the galaxy GN-z11 is thus surprisingly bright and distant (see Figure 5). While one single detection of a galaxy this bright is not very constraining given the large Poissonian uncertainties, it is interesting...
to estimate how many such galaxies we could have expected based on (1) the currently best estimates of the UV LF at $z > 8$ and (2) based on theoretical models and simulations.

Our target was found in a search of the GOODS fields, which amount to $\sim 160$ arcmin$^2$. However, in a subsequent search of the three remaining CANDELS fields no similar sources were found with likely redshifts at $z \gtrsim 10$ (Bouwens et al. 2015a). We therefore use the full 750 arcmin$^2$ of the CANDELS fields with matching WFC3/IR and ACS imaging for a volume estimate, which amounts to $1.2 \times 10^6$ Mpc$^3$ (assuming $\Delta z = 1$).

Using the simple trends in the Schechter parameters of the UV LFs measured UV at lower redshift ($z \approx 4 - 8$) and extrapolating these to $z = 11$, we can get an empirical estimate of the number density of very bright galaxies at $z \approx 11$. This amounts to 0.06 (Bouwens et al. 2015b) or 0.002 (Finkelstein et al. 2015) expected galaxies brighter than $M_{UV} = -22.1$ in our survey corresponding to less than 0.3 per surveyed square degree. Similarly, recent empirical models (Mashian et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2015; Trac et al. 2015) predict only 0.002–0.03 galaxies as bright as GN-z11 in our survey or 0.01–0.2 per deg$^2$. All the assumed LF parameters together with the resulting estimates of the number of expected bright galaxies $N_{\exp}$ are listed in Table 3.

The above estimates illustrate that our discovery of the unexpectedly luminous galaxy GN-z11 may challenge our current understanding of galaxy build-up at $z > 8$. A possible solution is that the UV LF does not follow a Schechter function form at the very bright end as has been suggested by some authors at $z \sim 7$ (Bowler et al. 2014), motivated by inefficient feedback in the very early universe. However, current evidence for this is still weak (see discussion in Bouwens et al. 2015b). Larger area studies will be required in the future (such as the planned WFIRST High Latitude Survey; Spergel et al. 2015) surveying several square degrees to determine the bright end of the UV LF to resolve this puzzle.

5. SUMMARY

In this paper we present HST slitless grism spectra for a uniquely bright $z > 10$ galaxy candidate, which we previously identified in the GOODS-North field, GN-z11. Our 2D data show clear flux longward of $\sim 1.47$ $\mu$m exactly along the trace of the target galaxy and zero flux at shorter wavelengths, thanks to our comprehensive and accurate treatment of contamination by neighboring galaxies. The interpretation that we indeed detect the continuum flux from GN-z11 is supported by the morphology of the spectrum, the fact that the counts fall off exactly where the sensitivity of the G141 grism drops, as well as the consistency of the observed counts with the $H$-band magnitude of GN-z11 (see e.g. Fig 3).

The grism spectrum, combined with the photometric constraints, allows us to exclude plausible low-redshift SEDs for GN-z11 at high confidence. In particular, we can invalidate a low-redshift SED of an extreme line emitter galaxy at $z \sim 2$ (see section 3 and Fig 4). Instead, the grism spectrum is completely consistent with a very high-redshift solution at $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$ (see Figures 3 and 5). This indicates that this galaxy lies at only $\sim 400$ Myr after the Big Bang, extending the previous redshift record by $\sim 150$ Myr.

GN-z11 is not only the most distant spectroscopically measured source, but is likely even more distant than all other high-redshift candidates with photometric redshifts, including MACS0647-JD at $z_{\text{phot}} = 10.7^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$ (Coe et al. 2014) of the UV LF to resolve this puzzle.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.A.</td>
<td>12:36:25.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>+62:14:31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redshift $z_{\text{grism}}$</td>
<td>$11.09^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV Luminosity $M_{UV}$</td>
<td>$-22.1 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half – Light Radius $a$</td>
<td>$0.6 \pm 0.3$ kpc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log $M_{\text{phot}}/M_{\odot}^c$</td>
<td>$9.0 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log age/yr $e$</td>
<td>$7.6 \pm 0.4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFR</td>
<td>$24 \pm 10$ M$_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{UV}}$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.2$ mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV slope $\beta$ ($\phi \propto \lambda^\beta$)</td>
<td>$-2.5 \pm 0.2^d$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Age of the Universe at $z = 11.09$ using our cosmology: 402 Myr
$^b$ From Holwerda et al. (2015)
$^c$ Uncertainties are likely underestimated, since our photometry only partially covers the rest-frame optical for GN-z11
$^d$ See also Wilkins et al. (2016)

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>$\phi \times 10^{-5}$ [Mpc$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$M_*$ [mag]</th>
<th>$\alpha \times 10^{-4}$</th>
<th>$N_{\exp} \times 10^{-4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bouwens et al. (2015b)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>-20.97</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finkelstein et al. (2015)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-20.55</td>
<td>-2.90</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mashian et al. (2016)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-21.20</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason et al. (2015)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-21.05</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trac et al. (2015)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>-20.18</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — The parameters $\phi$, $M_*$, and $\alpha$ represent the three parameters of the Schechter UV LF taken from the different reference works.
et al. 2013). Additionally, GN-z11 is surprisingly bright, being among the brightest of any galaxies currently identified at $z > 6$ (see Figure 7). An SED fit to the photometry indicates that GN-z11 has built up a relatively large stellar mass ($\sim 10^9 M_\odot$) for a galaxy at such an early time. This unexpectedly luminous galaxy may challenge our current understanding of early galaxy build-up. While the UV luminosity function of galaxies is not yet very accurately measured at $z > 8$, the expected number density of such bright galaxies at $z \sim 11$ is extremely small in most model estimates ($< 0.3 \, \text{deg}^{-2}$). The fact that such a galaxy is found in only 0.2 deg$^2$ of the joint ACS and WFC3/IR data from the CANDELS survey is therefore somewhat surprising. Future surveys of at least several deg$^2$ will be required to accurately determine the number densities of such bright galaxies at $z > 8$ and to characterize the bright end of the UV luminosity function. In particular, the planned high-latitude survey with WFIRST reaching to $H > 26$ mag is expected to find a significant number of such bright sources.

The spectroscopic measurement of GN-z11 as a high-redshift source proves that massive galaxies of a billion solar masses already existed at less than 500 Myr after the Big Bang and that galaxy build-up was well underway at $z > 10$. This is also promising news for future observations with the upcoming James Webb Space Telescope (JWST), which will be able to find galaxies at even earlier times. While challenging with HST now, JWST/NIRSPEC observations will be extremely efficient at confirming and measuring redshifts for all the current bright $z \gtrsim 9$ galaxy candidates. JWST will push to much earlier times and also result in much larger samples of spectroscopically-confirmed sources within the first 500 Myr of cosmic time, particularly at $z \lesssim 12$ if WFIRST is launched early enough to overlap with JWST. Until JWST, however, GN-z11 is quite likely to remain the most distant confirmed source.
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APPENDIX

A. CONSISTENCY CHECKS OF GRISM STACKING AND EXTRACTIONS

A.1. Median Stacking

In the main part of our analysis, we use a weighted sum to combine the 2D grism data from the 6 different visits to the final 12-orbit data. In particular, our weight includes a term to down-weight pixels which are affected by contamination (see Eq. 1). To ensure the detected signal is not the result of our stacking procedure, we additionally computed a simple median-stacked spectrum. This is shown in Figure 8. The median stack clearly still shows the continuum break, albeit it is somewhat noisier overall, since it does not include any contamination-based weighting. Nevertheless, the median flux is consistent with the expected count rate for an $H_{160} = 26$ mag source at $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09$.

A.2. Extractions Across the Trace

The 1D spectrum in the main body of the paper is based on an optimal extraction taking into account the asymmetric morphology of GN-z11. Figure 9 shows different 1D extractions as a function of position relative to the peak of the trace of GN-z11. These are simple sums extending over 6′′18 (i.e. 3 pixels) in the spatial direction. These 1D spectra show consistency with the expected count rate from our $H$-band morphological model of GN-z11. The figure also shows that the negative dip at $\sim 1.6 \, \mu \text{m}$ stems from negative pixels slightly above the peak trace of GN-z11. We ensured that these negative pixels are not the result of any cosmic rays or inaccurate persistence or contamination subtraction. The dip extending over 3 rebinned pixels is consistent with simple Gaussian fluctuations based on our noise model.

A.3. Data Split By Epoch

We also tested whether a break is seen when further splitting up the data into our two independent epochs. The S/N of the continuum detection in the final 12-orbit stack shown in the main body of the paper is already relatively low, but this split-data test is a good cross-check on the viability and consistency of the result. These data of the individual epoch each consist of 6 orbits but at two different orientations, resulting in different contamination levels as a function of wavelength. Figure 10 shows both the 2D and the corresponding 1D spectra for both epochs. When rebinned to 560 Å a continuum break is seen in both epochs separately, consistent with our best-fit redshift $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09$. However, the $S/N$ at 93 Å resolution in these spectra is small and the detailed differences should not be over-interpreted.

While some pixels off the trace in Fig. 10 show residual flux in the epoch-split data, these pixels are heavily contaminated by neighboring galaxies and are downweighted in the final stack. In Fig. 11 we show the final stacked pixel flux distribution within 0′′6 of the trace, which is perfectly consistent with a Gaussian. This demonstrates that our neighbor subtraction model and our pixel RMS estimates are both accurate.

B. KECK MOSFIRE SPECTROSCOPY

Before the HST grism spectra, GN-z11 was also observed with ground-based near-infrared spectra. We used Keck/MOSFIRE on April 25 to obtain $J$, $H$, and $K$-band coverage of GN-z11 (as well as lower redshift filler targets). The exposure times were 2.8 hrs in $J$, 1.0 hr in $H$, and 1.0 hr in $K$. The main purpose of these spectra was to rule out strong emission line contamination. With a seeing ranging from 0.8–1.4′′ and some cirrus clouds throughout the night, these spectra are not extremely constraining. However, the higher spectral resolution compared to the WFC3/IR grism allows us to search for narrower emission lines. None were found. In between sky lines, our spectra are sensitive to emission line fluxes of $\sim 2 - 4 \times 10^{-17} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ (5σ). These observations therefore already provided some evidence against strong emission line contamination before the acquisition of the WFC3/IR grism spectra.

C. ESTIMATING STELLAR POPULATION PROPERTIES

Spectral energy distribution fitting to the photometry was used to estimate several stellar population properties of GN-z11 listed in Table 2. This was done using the code ZEBRA+ (Oesch et al. 2010), an extension of the photometric redshift code ZEBRA (Feldmann et al. 2006). The stellar population templates were based on standard libraries (Bruzual & Charlot 2003), however, nebular continuum and line emission were added self-consistently assuming all ionizing photons are transformed to nebular emission. The allowed star-formation histories were based on standard exponentially declining models with parameters $\tau = 10^8$, $10^9$, and constant star-formation, with stellar metallicities of $Z = 0.05 - 0.5Z_\odot$ and a Chabrier initial mass function. The ages of the models ranged from $10^6$ yr to the age of the universe at the given redshift. Dust extinction was allowed in the fit using a standard starburst dust model (Calzetti et al. 2000). The resulting stellar mass derived for GN-z11 is $\log M/M_\odot = 9.0$ with formal uncertainties $\Delta \log M = 0.4$ as derived from the $\chi^2$ values of all SEDs in the library. We note, however, that our longest wavelength filter, IRAC channel 2, only partially covers the rest-frame optical for GN-z11 at $\lambda_{\text{eff}} > 4000$ Å, which is why we only report an approximate stellar mass in the main body of the text. Other physical parameters are listed in Table 2.
Fig. 8.— The 1D spectrum based on a simple median stack of the data from our 6 individual visits, rather than an optimal weighted stack. The gray histogram with errorbars shows the median spectrum rebinned to ~93 Å wide spectral bins. The median stacked flux still shows the spectral break at ~1.47 μm and is consistent with the expected count rate of an $H_{160} = 26$ mag source at $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09$, which is shown by the red line. Black points with errorbars show the same 1D spectrum rebinned to 560 Å. Note that this simple median stack does not optimally account for pixels affected by contamination and is overall noisier than the spectrum used in our main analysis.

Fig. 9.— 1D spectra extracted at different offsets from the peak of the trace of GN-z11 (lower panels). The colored lines with errorbars show a simple sum of the flux over 0.18 (3 pixels) in the spatial direction, offset in 0.18 steps relative to each other. The regions over which the spectra are extracted are indicated in the top panel showing the 2D spectrum. The solid red line represents the expected 1D flux at each location based on our morphological model of GN-z11 with $H_{160} = 26$ mag and at a redshift of $z_{\text{grism}} = 11.09$. (The discontinuities arise because the trace is slightly tilted.) Note that the asymmetric profile of GN-z11 extending over 0.6 (see $H_{160}$-band stamp in the upper left) results in an asymmetric flux distribution relative to the peak of the trace. The negative dip at ~1.6 μm is seen most pronounced at +0.18 above the peak of the trace of GN-z11.
Fig. 10.— The 2D (top) and 1D (bottom) grism spectra of GN-z11 split in the two independent epochs of data from our program (epoch 1 left panels; epoch 2 right panels). Both of these have an exposure time of 6 orbits each, but their neighbor contamination is very different due to the different orientations (see Figure 2). The blue lines show the contamination level which was subtracted from the 1D spectra. As in Fig 5, the top panel has been slightly smoothed for clarity and the lower panels show the 1D flux density binned to one resolution element of the G141 grism (93 Å). As expected for a 6 orbit exposure, the continuum S/N is at this resolution. The black dots with errorbars are the binned spectrum to 560 Å. The continuum break is detected in both epochs separately.

Fig. 11.— Histogram of pixel residuals within ±0′.6 of the trace in the 2D spectrum after subtracting out the best-fit model of GN-z11. The salmon colored line is the best-fit Gaussian distribution, which is in excellent agreement with the expectation of a Gaussian centered at $\mu = 0$ with $\sigma = 1$ (dark red line). This demonstrates that our pixel noise estimates are accurate and that our extracted spectra are not affected by systematic uncertainties from neighbor modeling.